

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/657,749		09/08/2003	Mario Spatafora	U 014802-8	1143		
140	7590	05/02/2005		EXAMINER			
	& PARRY		HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R				
	61ST STRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				3721	3721		

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1	(v)					
•	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)						
_		10/657,749 SPATAFORA, MARIO		RIO					
Office Action Summary	Examin	ier	Art Unit						
	Christo	pher R Harmon	3721						
The MAILING DATE of this comp Period for Reply	munication appears on t	he cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proviafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. ity (30) days, a reply within the s im statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a nths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co	/. ommunication.					
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 200</u>	<u>)5</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL	2b) ☐ This action is	s non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condi									
closed in accordance with the pr	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in t	he application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.									
' <u> </u>									
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	requirement.							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to b									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Of	mice Action or form P1	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. a) All b) Some * c) None of the price of the price of the price of the certified copies of the certi	of: prity documents have be prity documents have be priority documents of the priority documents.	een received. een received in Appl ments have been rec	ication No	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office a	•		eived.						
	•								
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	ow (PTO-048)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTC)-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/657,749 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 11-16, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Draghetti et al. (US 6,516,589).

Draghetti et al. disclose a method of conveying articles comprising feeding articles to pocket conveyor 38; retaining article by gripping means 46 and 47 associated with pocket 37; pushing article with push means 32 against locating member 71 as it is advanced; see figures 1-2. Push means 32 are mounted on folding devices/station 25. Rotary folding devices 45 operate around an axis perpendicular to the feed direction. Guide means 71 faces drum conveyor 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,749

Art Unit: 3721

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-10, 17-19, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Draghetti et al. (US 6,516,589) in view of AAPA.

Regarding claims 9-10 and 17-19, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify conveyor 33 with additional gripping means (suction plate with holes) to assist in retaining/securing blanks while being folded.

Regarding claims 24-25, Draghetti et al. do not specifically disclose guide 71 having grooves for flap and locating members, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide relief grooves on a guide to lessen the drag from items traveling along the guide.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., releasing the article... so as to let the article be pushed against a locating member of the pocket) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). These limitations are separate method steps independent of one another and both are anticipated by Draghetti et al. see above.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,749

Art Unit: 3721

The common knowledge modifications in the prior Non-Final Rejection of 11/03/04 are taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,749

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 5